

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Ţ.

Washington, D.C. 20231

09/217,595

APPLICATION NO.

12/22/98 FILING DATE

LANIER

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR

ATTORNEY, DOCKET NO.

CONLEY ROSE AND TAYON PO BOX 398 AUSTIN IX 78767-0398 LM21/0907

TREAT, W EXAMINER

27ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

09/07/99

DATE MAILED:

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

	' '	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary	09/217,595	Lanle	retali			
	Examiner		Group Art Unit			
	W. TR	EAT	2783			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet be	eneath the co	rrespondence ac	idress		
Period for Response	- 1 .	\				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE IS SET MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	TO EXPIRE 3 (th	MONTH	H(S) FROM THE			
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for response specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a least IR NO period for response is specified above, such period shall, by default Failure to respond within the set or extended period for response will, by 	response within the statuto It, expire SIX (6) MONTHS	ry minimum of th from the mailing	irty (30) days will be o	considered timely.		
Status						
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 6/24/9	9			·		
☐ This action is FINAL .						
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except fo accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 0			the merits is clos	sed in		
Disposition of Claims						
X Claim(s) 1 - 49	is/are		pending in the application.			
Of the above claim(s)		is/are v	vithdrawn from consideration.			
\otimes Claim(s) $\sqrt{-30}$ is/a						
XClaim(s) 3 1 − 9 4			are rejected.			
Claim(s)				is/are objected to.		
	are subject to restriction or election requirement.			or election		
Application Papers						
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing F						
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on		☐ disapproved	d.			
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected	to by the Examiner.					
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)						
 □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under complete complet	priority documents ha	ive been				
*Certified copies not received:			·			
Attachment(s)						
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s	s) 🗆 Ir	iterview Sumn	nary, PTO-413			
□ Notice of References Cited, PTO-892	☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152					
☐ Noţice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948		Other				
Office Action Summary						

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 08/123,456

Art Unit: 2783

- 1. Claims 1-89 are presented for examination.
- 2. Applicants' first amendment to the disclosure at col. 1, line 8 is improper since it must be underlined in its entirety. Please correct this. Applicants will also have to specifically delete the material from the patent duplicated by the microfiche appendices after supplying the microfiche appendices.
- 3. Claims 1-89 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 251 as being drawn to subject matter voluntarily given up by applicants in order to obtain a patent.
- 4. A reissue will not be granted to "recapture" claimed subject matter deliberately canceled in an application to obtain a patent. In re Clement, 131 F.3d 1464, 45 USPQ2d 1161 (Fed. Cir. 1997); Ball Corp. v. United States, 729 F.2d 1429, 1436, 221 USPQ 289, 295 (Fed. Cir. 1989); In re Wadlinger, 496 F.2d 1200, 181 USPQ 826 (CCPA 1974); In re Richman, 409 F.2d 269, 276, 161 USPQ 359, 363-64 (CCPA 1969); In re Willingham, 282 F.2d 353, 127 USPQ 211 (CCPA 1960). The Federal Circuit stated the following principles in Clement: (1) if the reissue claim is as broad as or broader than the canceled or amended claim in all aspects, the recapture rule bars the claim; (2) if it is narrower in all aspects, the recapture rule does not apply, but other rejections are possible; (3) if the reissue claim is broader in some aspects, but narrower in others, then: (a) if the reissue claim is as broad as or broader in an aspect germane to a prior art rejection, but narrower in another aspect completely unrelated to the rejection, the recapture rule bars the claim; (b) if the reissue claim is narrower in an aspect germane to a prior art rejection, and broader in an aspect unrelated to the rejection, the recapture

Application/Control Number: 08/123,456 Page 3

Art Unit: 2783

rule does not bar the claim, but other rejections are possible. See MPEP § 1412.03 as to broadening claims

- 5. Applicant's new independent claims 31, 66, 72, and 77 and their dependents are an attempt to recapture subject matter of claim 1 which was deliberatly amended (i.e. cancelled) by applicants following a rejection by the examiner based on prior art. Even though applicants' claims might be viewed as narrower in an aspect of the claims unrelated to the prior art rejection they are broader in aspects germane to the prior art rejection. For instance, when applicants use words such as "emulate the first body..." and emulate the second body..." these words are directed to the same aspect of applicants' invention as the "first body emulating means..." and second body emulating means..." described in a far more limiting manner following amendment of claim 1 after the examiner's prior art rejection. Also, applicants' switch from means plus function language to describe sensing and integrating aspects of applicants' invention to broader terms is also viewed as an attempt to recapture.
- 6. Applicants' mistakes of a typographical or clerical nature were more appropriate to a Certificate of Correction than a reissue application.
- 7. Claims 1-30 will also not be allowable unless applicants amend the new independent claims so that they are commensurate in scope with all aspects of the appropriate, previously allowed independent claims before further amending the claims to correct for any failure to file, for example, for a software program on a computer-readable medium. Should applicants merely cancel their new claims or fail to present allowable claims which correct errors other than those of

Application/Control Number: 08/123,456

Art Unit: 2783

a typographical or clerical nature they will have failed to demonstrate an error meriting reissue and all claims will be rejected.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to William M. Treat at telephone number (703) 305-9699.

M - 1

WILLIAM M. TREAT